

## Laurent Series.

Monday, November 6, 2023 10:07 PM

Remark about two-sided series

Def  $\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} b_n$  converges if  $\exists \lim_{N_1, N_2 \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=N_1}^{N_2} b_n \Leftrightarrow$  both  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n$  and  $\sum_{n=-\infty}^{-1} b_n$  converge.

If  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n w^n$  a power series with radius of convergence  $R$ ,

then  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{(z-z_0)^n}$  converges locally uniformly when  $\frac{1}{|z-z_0|} < \frac{1}{R}$ .

$f(z) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{(z-z_0)^n} \in A \left( \{ |z-z_0| > \frac{1}{R} \} \right)$  including  $\rightarrow f(\infty) = a_0$ .  
removable singularity.

Let now  $(a_n)_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ , then

$f(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n (z-z_0)^n$  converges in some annulus  $\{ R_1 < |z-z_0| < R_2 \}$ .

where  $R_2$  - radius of convergence of  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n w^n$

$1/R_1$  - radius of convergence of  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n w^n$ .

$f \in A \left( \{ R_1 < |z-z_0| < R_2 \} \right)$  - locally uniform sum.

Note. Possible that  $R_1 = 0$ ,  $R_2 = \infty$ .



Pierre Alphonse Laurent

Theorem (Laurent) Let  $f \in A \left( \{ R_1 < |z-z_0| < R_2 \} \right)$

Then  $f(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n (z-z_0)^n$ . \(\therefore\)

The series converges locally uniformly in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

$a_n := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{C_r} f(\zeta) (\zeta-z_0)^{-n-1} d\zeta$  for any  $k, r < k_1$ ,  
 $C_r := \{ z + re^{i\theta} \}$ ,



Proof

Observe:  $\forall R_1 < r_1 < r_2 < R_2$ ,  $C_{r_1} - C_{r_2} \sim 0$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

Indeed,  $z \notin \mathcal{A} \Rightarrow |z| \geq R_2 \Rightarrow n(C_{r_1}, z) = n(C_{r_2}, z) = 0$

$|z| \leq R_1 \Rightarrow n(C_{r_1}, z) = n(C_{r_2}, z) = 1$ .

So  $\oint_{C_{r_1}} f(\zeta) (\zeta-z_0)^n d\zeta = \oint_{C_{r_2}} f(\zeta) (\zeta-z_0)^n d\zeta \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}$  (can be negative).

since  $f(\zeta) (\zeta-z_0)^n \in A(\mathcal{A})$ .

Let us fix  $z \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $r_1, r_2$ :  $R_1 < r_1 < |z-z_0| < r_2 < R_2$   
 Notice  $n(C_{r_1} - C_{r_2}, z) = 1$  ( $n(C_{r_1}, z) = 0$ ,  $n(C_{r_2}, z) = 1$ ).

By Cauchy integral formula:

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{C_r} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta + \int_{C_r} f(\zeta) d\zeta - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{C_r} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z_0} d\zeta$$

Use Cauchy trick twice:

On  $|z - z_0| = r_1 > |z - z_0|$ :

$$\frac{1}{z - z} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(z - z_0)^k}{(\zeta - z_0)^{k+1}} \quad \left( \begin{array}{l} |\zeta - z_0| < 1 \\ \frac{|z - z_0|^k}{r_2} \end{array} \right) - \text{converges uniformly on } C_{r_2}$$

On  $|\zeta - z_0| = r_1 < |z - z_0|$

$$\frac{1}{z - z} = \frac{1}{(z - z_0) \left( 1 - \frac{\zeta - z_0}{z - z_0} \right)} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\zeta - z_0)^{k-1}}{(z - z_0)^k} \quad \text{converges uniformly on } C_{r_1}$$

Since multiplication by bounded  $f(\zeta)$  does not change uniform convergence, we get

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{C_r} \frac{f(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z_0)^{k+1}} d\zeta \right] (z - z_0)^k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{C_{r_1}} f(\zeta) (\zeta - z_0)^{k-1} d\zeta \right] (z - z_0)^{-k}$$

The series converges for every  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ , so locally uniformly.

Special case:  $R_1 = 0$  - isolated singularity.

$$\text{If } f \in A(\Omega \setminus \{z_0\}), \quad f(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n (z - z_0)^n \quad \text{for } 0 < |z - z_0| < \text{dist}(z_0, \partial\Omega).$$

$\left( f \in A(B(z_0, \text{dist}(z_0, \partial\Omega)) \setminus \{z_0\}) \right)$

### Theorem.

1)  $z_0$  is removable  $\Leftrightarrow \forall n < 0, a_n = 0$

2)  $z_0$  is pole  $\Leftrightarrow \exists N \in \mathbb{N}: a_n = 0 \quad \forall n < -N$ .

3)  $z_0$  is essential  $\Leftrightarrow \{n < 0: a_n \neq 0\}$  is infinite.

Proof. 1)  $z_0$  is removable  $\Leftrightarrow f \in A(\Omega)$

$$\text{So } a_n = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{C_r} f(\zeta) (\zeta - z_0)^n d\zeta = 0, \text{ by Cauchy (n>0).}$$

Other direction:  $a_n = 0 \quad \forall n < 0: f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (z - z_0)^n$  - analytic at  $z_0$

2) already done: Theorem on characterization of poles.

3) Essential  $\neq$  pole or removable

So  $\{n < 0: a_n \neq 0\}$  is infinite  $\Leftrightarrow$  essential.

Def. If  $z_0$  is isolated singularity,  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{(z - z_0)^n}$  is called singular part of Laurent decomposition.

$$\underline{n=-1}: \quad a_n = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{C_r} f(\zeta) d\zeta = \text{Res}_{z=z_0} f(z).$$

$r < \text{dist}(z_0, \partial\Omega)$



Def. The residue of  $f$  at  $z_0$  is defined as

$$R := \text{Res}_{z=z_0} f(z) := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{C_r} f(z) dz,$$

$$r < \text{dist}(z_0, \partial\Omega).$$

$C_r$  is  $\{z_0 + reit\}$ ,  
the circle of radius  $r$ ,  
centered at  $z_0$ .  
Oriented counter-clockwise.